USSN 10/616,617 filed 07/10/2003 (DP-309422) Amendment dated 09-MAY-2005 Response to Office Action of 03/10/2005

REMARKS

Claims 1-3, 7-16 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pao et al. (US 5,931,371).

Independent claims 1 and 12 have been amended to more clearly differentiate and distinguish the present invention over Pao et al. as well as the other art of record.

Claim 1 specifies "An electronic package comprising: ... a solder joint ... comprising a reflowable solder ... wherein said reflowable solder is formed of monolithic material having a relatively low melting temperature, and wherein said stand-off members are composed of an aggregation of a reflowable solder having a relatively high melting temperature and a non-solder material which maintains dimensional integrity at said relatively high melting temperature." Restated, the solder joint is composed of a low temperature solder and stand-off members. The stand-off members are themselves electrically conductive, have an affinity for solder, and are constructed as an admixture or aggregation of a high temperature solder and a non-solder material, such as glass, which maintains its dimensional integrity at or above the relatively high melting temperature, thus ensuring the maintenance of a substantially fixed separation between the circuit board and surface mount device.

Pao et al. discloses the use of a stand-off ball 18 monolithically constructed of a single type of high temperature solder. Refer column 3, lines 8-28. Pao et al. does not disclose or suggest the use of a stand-off ball constructed of two distinct materials as is presently claimed.

8

USSN 10/616,617 filed 07/10/2003 (DP-309422) Amendment dated 09-48y-2005

Response to Office Action of 03/10/2005

Claim 12 similarly specifies "A method of forming an electronic package ... comprising:

... preforming a plurality of discrete electrically conductive stand-off members having

an affinity for solder as an aggregation of a reflowable solder having a relatively high

melting temperature and a non-solder material which maintains dimensional integrity

at said relatively high melting temperature ... ". For the reasons set forth above, claim

12 is clearly distinguishable from Pao et al..

The Applicant, accordingly, requests that this rejection be withdrawn.

Claims 4 – 6 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pao

et al. as applied to claims 1 and 12, and further in view of either Avery et al. (US

6,340,113) or Alcoe et al. (US 6,631,078).

Claims 4 – 6 and 17 depend, directly or indirectly, from independent claims 1 or 12 and

are distinguishable over the art of record for the reasons set forth herein above.

The Applicant, accordingly, requests that this rejection be withdrawn.

9

USSN 10/616,617 filed 07/10/2003 (DP-309422) Amendment dated 09-H44-2005

Response to Office Action of 03/10/2005

Conclusion

It is believed, in view of the amendments and remarks herein, that all grounds of rejection

of the claims have been addressed and overcome, and that all claims are in condition for

allowance.

If it would further prosecution of the application, the Examiner is urged to contact the

undersigned at the phone number provided.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this

communication to Deposit Account No. 50-0831.

Respectfully submitted,

J. Gordon Lewis

Reg. No. 28735

(248) 813-1234

10